# ABSORPTION SPECTRA OF CAROTENOIDS; STRUCTURE OF VITAMIN'  $A_2$

### LOUIS F. FIESER'

*Received December* **8,** *1949* 

The ultraviolet absorption maxima of heteroannular dienes and trienes of the steroid series can be calculated with an accuracy of a few  $m\mu$  from equation 1, in which *m* is the number of alkyl groups or ring residues attached to the polyene 1.  $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 214 + 5m + 30 (n - 2) + 5e$ 

1. 
$$
\lambda_{\text{max}} = 214 + 5m + 30 (n - 2) + 5e
$$

system, *n* is the number of conjugated double bonds, and *e* is the number of exocyclic double bonds  $(1, 2)$ . A solvent effect, if any, is minor. For the pentaene alcohol vitamin  $A_1$  the maximum calculated from the same equation (334 m $\mu$ ) is in the order of magnitude of that found  $(326 \text{ m}\mu)$  but somewhat higher.



For vitamin  $A_2$ , however, the value 329 m $\mu$  calculated for formula II, proposed by Karrer, Geiger, and Bretscher **(3)** and favored by Shantz (4), is so divergent from the observed maximum of 351 m $\mu$  as to suggest that the formula may be incorrect. This discrepancy between observed and calculated absorption maximum prompted the following analysis of spectrographic data for carotenoids, conducted in the hope that an accurate method of calculation specific to these multiply unsaturated compounds might afford a basis for deduction of the actual structure.

*Polyene systems.* **A** typical carotenoid containing a polyene system of ten or eleven double bonds has a three-banded absorption spectrum in which the band of greatest intensity occupies a position almost exactly half-way between the other two and which thus represents the peak of a broad band differing from the single bands of dienes and trienes only in having fine detail in the form of shoulders that are usually developed into well-defined companion bands of shorter and longer wave length. The present analysis will thus be based solely upon the position of the maxima of the median bands of highest extinction coefficient.

The position of the absorption maxima of typical carotenoids is influenced to a considerable degree by the nature of the solvent, and it appears that sensitivity to solvent effect increases with increasing number of conjugated double bonds.

**<sup>1</sup>**On leave **of** absence from Harvard University as delegate of the National Academy of Sciences to the dedication of the Weiamann Institute, November **2,** 1949.

Whereas solvents exert little effect on the absorption characteristics of steroid dienes (l), maxima recorded (5) for dehydrolycopene, which has fifteen conjugated double bonds, are as follows  $(m\mu)$ : hexane, 504; chloroform, 528, benzene, 531; pyridine, 535; carbon disulfide, 557. Wald (6) has noted that the spectra of carotenoid polyenes are very nearly the same in pentane, hexane, and ethanol, which have comparable refractive indexes, but are considerably displaced when the solvent is chloroform. Even greater displacements are observed in other solvents, particularly carbon disulfide. Thus, measurements in an aliphatic hydrocarbon or ethanol would seem the most suitable basis for comparison, but only a few results are available for ethanol solution. Maxima determined for hexane solutions are usually available and are taken as the basis for the present analysis; where data for hexane solutions are lacking, determinations made in petroleum ether, ligroin, or pentane are taken as equivalent to those in hexane.

maanaa Cabcobaled filom belcailon i COMPOUND	n	115	$\lambda$ Hexane mu		
			Calc'd	Found	
$\alpha$ -Apo-2-carotenol		6	424	420	
	11	8	524	$474 \ (av.)$	
Dehydrolycopene	15	10	654	504	

TABLE **I MAXIMA CALCULATED FROM EQUATION 1** 

<sup>a</sup> Lycopene, lycoxanthin, and lycophyll (see Table II).

The inadequacy of equation 1 as applied to higher polyenes is demonstrated by the comparison given in Table I of maxima calculated and found (5) for the open-chain substances  $\alpha$ -apo-2-carotenol (III), lycopene (IV), and dehydrolycopene  $(V)$ , which contain, respectively, 8, 11, and 15 conjugated double bonds.



The comparison suggests that the bathochromic effect of an additional double bond is not a constant quantity as assumed in Equation 1 but decreases with

lncreasing number of conjugated double bonds.2 As an approximation, the reiationship can be described as a proportionality as expressed in equation **2,** in which *m* is the number of alkyl substituents, *n* is the number of double bonds in conjugation, and A, *x*, and *y* are constants for the series. Substitution in 2 of the <br>  $2. \lambda_{\max} = A + 5m + n (x - ny)$ 

$$
2. \lambda_{\max} = A + 5m + n (x - ny)
$$

maxima and values for *m* and *n* of the polyenes III, IV, and V and of the arbitrarily assumed quantity  $A = 114$  gives three simultaneous equations that yield the values  $y = 1.80$ , 1.61, and 1.69. From the average,  $y = 1.7$ , values found for  $x$  are 48.10, 47.79, and 48.16; av.  $x = 48.01$ . Hence equation 3 expresses the data. The series constant A was so selected that solution of the equation for 3.  $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{Hermae}} = 114 + 5 m + n (48.0 + 1.7 n)$ 

3. 
$$
\lambda_{\max}^{\text{Hexane}} = 114 + 5 m + n (48.0 + 1.7 n)
$$

 $n = 1$  and for  $n = 2$  gives the values 160 and 203 m<sub>p</sub>, which are reasonably close to the maxima of ethylene and butadiene, respectively. The maxima calculated for III, IV, and V are 419, 476 and 501.5 mu.

The bicyclic  $\beta$ -carotene (VI) contains the same number of conjugated double bonds as lycopene (eleven) but the chromophoric system has ten C-substituents as compared with eight for lycopene. If no other factor were involved,  $\beta$ -carotene



VI  $\beta$ -Carotene,  $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{Hexane}}$  451m $\mu$ 

should absorb at a wave length  $10 \text{ m}\mu$  longer than lycopene, but actually the median maximum is 23  $m\mu$  less than that of lycopene. The hypsochromic effect evidently involved most likely is steric; possibly ring closure results in hindrance between the ring methyl groups and the side chain that prevents coplanarity and hence maximum resonance.  $\gamma$ -Carotene ( $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{Heyane}}$  462 m $\mu$ ) is intermediate in structure, for one end of the molecule resembles lycopene and the other end resembles  $\beta$ -carotene. Since  $\gamma$ -carotene contains one more alkyl substituent than lycopene, the steric effect of ring closure (S) can be evaluated as follows:<br>  $S = \lambda^{\gamma \text{-Carotene}} - \lambda^{\text{Lyopene}} - 5 = -17$ 

$$
S = \lambda^{\gamma \text{-Carotene}} - \lambda^{\text{Lyoopene}} - 5 = -17
$$

Comparison of  $\gamma$ - and  $\beta$ -carotene indicates the value  $S = -16$ . In these hydrocarbons with eleven double bonds the average effect of the presence of a ring characterized as having an internal double bond  $(R_i)$  is  $-16.5$  m $\mu$ . Thus equation 3 can be modified by addition of the term  $-16.5$   $R_i$ , where  $R_i$  is the number of rings of the type defined. Thus for vitamin  $A_1$  ( $m = 6$ ,  $n = 5$ ,  $R_i = 1$ ) the maximum is calculated as  $114 + 30 + 197.5 - 16.5 = 325$  (found,  $326$ ).

**\*Described by Brooker, Keyes, and Williams (7) as a convergent series; see also Kuhn (8).** 

Dehydro-*B*-carotene (VII) contains a second type of ring structure characterized by the presence of an exocyclic double bond (designation:  $R_e$ ).



**VI1** Dehydro-&carotene

The exocyclic double bond *per* **se** may produce a bathochromic shift, and ring closure may be attended with a steric effect operating in the opposite direction. The net effect per ring as estimated from the value of  $\lambda_{\max}^{\text{Hexane}}$  found for dehydro- $\beta$ -carotene (475 m $\mu$ ) and that calculated from equation 3 (495 m $\mu$ ) is 10 m $\mu$ .

All the above inferences are summarized in the following general equation for calculation of absorption maxima of carotenoid polyenes and of such *oxy*genated derivatives as carry no carbonyl groups in conjugation with the polyene system.

4. 
$$
\lambda_{\max}^{\text{Hexane}} = 114 + 5m + n (48.0 - 1.7 n) - 16.5 R_i - 10 R_e
$$

 $[m = no.$  of C-substituents;  $n = no.$  of conjugated double bonds;  $R_i = no.$  of rings with an internal double bond (type:  $VI$ );  $R_e$  = no. of rings with an external double bond (type: VII)].

**A** comparison of maxima for nineteen carotenoids calculated from equation **4** with those recorded in the compilation of Karrer and Jucker *(5),* is given in Table II. The agreement is good except in the case of  $\beta$ -apo-2-carotenol, and the reported maximum thus appears in doubt. The substances are probably of all*trans* configuration except 5,6-dihydro- $\alpha$ -carotene, which is partly *cisoid*. The table includes computations pertaining to the solvent effect. Little or no displacement of the spectrum occurs in ethanol solution as compared with hexane solution, but displacements of increasing magnitude are noted in chloroform, benzene, pyridine, and carbon disulfide. For  $\alpha$ -apo-2-carotenol, with eight double bonds., the displacement between carbon disulfide and hexane solution is 25  $m\mu$ ; for dehydrolycopene, with fifteen double bonds, the displacement is 53  $m\mu$ . The suggestion that the bathochromic solvent effect is proportional to the number of conjugated double bonds was tested by computation of the quantity  $c =$  $(\lambda^{\text{Solvent}} - \bar{\lambda}^{\text{Hexane}})/n$ , and the results tabulated indicate that this quantity is indeed a constant for a given solvent. The average values found for *c* may be used with fair assurance for calculation of  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  in one solvent from the value found for another solvent. The dependence of the solvent effect upon *n* explains why no effect has been apparent among steroid dienes.

*Aldehydes, ketones, acids.* The  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ -unsaturated carbonyl compounds of the carotenoid series seem to differ considerably in absorption characteristics from steroid. enones and dienones; the relationships appear more comparable with those noted among corresponding polyenes. Thus compounds VIII and IX,

### LOUIS F. FIESER



### TABLE II ABSORPTION MAXIMA FOR CAROTENOID POLYENES AND DERIVATIVES CALCULATED FROM EQUATION 4

 $_{\rm EtOH}$  $\alpha$  Taken as equal to  $\lambda$  $\frac{1}{\max}$ 

with nine double bonds conjugated with a carbonyl group, have maxima  $(\lambda^{Hexane}_{max})$ 473, 454 m $\mu$ ) not far from those calculated for structurally related polyenes with ten conjugated ethylenic linkages (454, 442.5 m $\mu$ ). Computation from available





data by the methods developed above has led to the empirical equations *5,* 6, and *7* given below, and calculated maxima are compared with those found in Table IV. Data are available for open-chain aldehydes having 8, **9,** and 10 conjutaged ethylenic linkages (Table III:  $\alpha$ -citraurin and  $\alpha$ -apo-2-carotenal, apo-3-lycopinal, and apo-2-lycopenal), and solution of simultaneous equations as before affords the values of  $x$  and  $y$  given in equation 5. Unfortunately evidence regarding the effect of a ring with an internal double bond  $(R_i)$  is conflicting. In the case of retinene<sub>1</sub>, or vitamin  $A_1$  aldehyde, the effect of such a ring appears to be negligible; in the case of  $\beta$ -apo-2-carotenal  $(IX)$  and its hydroxy derivative  $\beta$ -citraurin, the effect is 20-24 m $\mu$ . No reason for this discrepancy is apparent; equation 5 includes a term  $(-10 \text{ R}_i)$  that averages the two divergent estimates.

The data in this and related series are very meagre as well as partly conflicting, but the following tentative conclusions seem indicated. Aldehydes have the same absorption characteristics as the corresponding acids, and esters are equivalent to acids. In a dialdehyde or diacid the second functional group is counted merely

Aldehydes, dialdehydes, acids, diacids

dia  
identyues, and is, diaus  
5. 
$$
\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{Hexane}} = 114 + 5m + n (55.5 - 2.1 n) - 10 R_i
$$

Ketones:

6. 
$$
\lambda_{\max}^{\text{Hexane}} = 100 + 5m + n (55.5 - 2.1n) - 10 R_i
$$

Diketones:

$$
7. \ \lambda_{\max}^{\text{Hexane}} = 120 + 5m + n (55.5 - 2.1n) - 10 R_i - 18 R_e
$$

as a carbon-substituent, equivalent to an alkyl group, and the equation for the monofunctional compounds is then applicable. Ketones are slightly less powerful chromophores than aldehydes and acids. In diketones, unlike dialdehydes and diacids, the second carbonyl group has an appreciable bathochromic effect. The diketone system is treated as a unit and the second carbonyl group is not counted as an alkyl substituent; terminal groups attached to the system  $-CO(CH=CH)_n$ CO- are not counted as alkyl substituents. In some instances the agreement with reliable data is only very approximate  $(\text{retinene}_1)$ ; in other instances the data are incomplete or uncertain (parentheses). Where the only measurements available are in a solvent other than hexane or ligroin (last column), the solvent concerned is indicated. The effect of a ring with an external double bond  $(R<sub>e</sub>)$ , as estimated from **a,** single instance (rhodoxanthin), appears to be twice as great as in the polyene series.





## TABLE III ALDEHYDES, KETONES, ACIDS

<sup>a</sup> Constants from Wald (6). <sup>b</sup> Only one band reported. <sup>c</sup> Esters and acids have identical absorption characteristics. 4 See Wendler, Slater, and Tishler (9). • Calculated from  $\circ$  for crocetin.

Oxides. Carotenoid epoxides  $(X)$  and the furanoid oxides  $(XI)$  into which they are converted by acids are listed in Table IV. It appears that an oxide linkage adjacent to the conjugated system has a slight bathochromic effect and that a ring with an internal double bond produces a slightly greater hypsochromic shift than in the polyenes. The empirical equation 8 used for calculation is a slight modification of the polyene equation 4.

8. 
$$
\lambda_{\max}^{\text{Hexane}} = 118 + 5m + n (48.0 - 1.7 n) - 23 R_i
$$

COMPOUND	$\boldsymbol{n}$	$^{m}$	$R_{\star}$	$\lambda$ Solvent - $\lambda$ Hexane n				$\lambda$ Hexane max			
				<b>EtOH</b>	Chf	CaH.	Py		$CS2$ $Calc'd$	Found	
				EPOXIDES AND DIEPOXIDES							
$\alpha$ -Carotene monoepoxide	9	6			1.3	1.4		3.2	442		442
Xanthophyll epoxide	9	6		0.3		1.2		3.3	442		442
$\beta$ -Carotene monoepoxide	10	$\mathbf{8}$	1		1.2	1.3		3.2	445		447
Cryptoxanthin epoxide	10	8	1						445	EtOH	449
Antheroxanthin	10	8	$\mathbf{1}$						445	$_{\rm Chf}$	460.5
$\beta$ -Carotene diepoxide	9	6			1.4	1.4		3.2	442		443
Cryptoxanthin diepoxide	9	6							442	$\rm EtOH$	442
Violaxanthin	9	6		$-0.1$	1.0			3.0	442		443
	<b>FURANOID</b>			OXIDES AND DIOXIDES							
Flavochrome	8	6			1.4	1.5		3.6	423		422
Flavoxanthin	8	6		$\bf{0}$	1.1			3.5	423		421
Chryanthemoxanthin	8	6		0	1.1			3.5	423		421
$Mutatochrome = Citroxan-$											
thin	9	8	$\mathbf{1}$		1.2	1.4		3.5	429		427
Cryptoflavin	9	8	1						429	EtOH	430
Mutatoxanthin	9	8	1	0.1	1.1	1.4	1.9	3.7	429		426
Cryptochrome	7	6							401	CS <sub>2</sub>	424
Aurochrome	7	6							401	$\rm CS_2$	428

**TABLE IV** OXIDES (EQUATION 8)

Solvent effect. The average coefficients  $(c)$  relating absorption maxima in various solvents to the maxima in hexane are summarized in Table V. Only in the series of polyene carotenoids is there a sufficient body of data for comparison of absorption characteristics in hexane, ethanol, chloroform, benzene, and carbon disulfide. The concordance of the maxima in ethanol and in hexane and the progressive bathochromic shifts that occur in the other three solvents appear to bear some relationship to the refractive indexes. Wald (6) suggested that the large displacements in the spectra of retinene, and rhodoxanthin between hexane and ethanol or chloroform represent a specific characteristic of carotenoids which contain a conjugated carbonyl group. According to the present analysis the displacements are abnormal only in the case of retinene<sub>1</sub>; rhodoxanthin contains LOUIS F. FIESER

twelve ethylenic linkages, and the displacement per double bond is about the same as in the polyene series. The available data suggest that ketones, diketones, and oxides are comparable with respect to solvent effect to polyenes and that the spectra of aldehydes and acids are subject to somewhat greater displacements.

Vitamin  $A_2$ . The observations concerning vitamins  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  requiring interpretation in terms of structural formulas are summarized in the following





<sup>a</sup> Number of comparisons.

chart of transformations, which gives the maxima (in  $m\mu$ ) of the sole or median absorption bands of the derivatives and of the antimony trichloride complexes.



Vitamin  $A_1$  is oxidized reversibly in vivo to the aldehyde retinene (10); oxidation can be accomplished by adsorption on manganese dioxide from petroleum ether

938

**(11, 6)),** and reduction has been effected enzymatically *in vitro* **(12).** Vitamin **A1**  is easily dehydrated to an anhydro compound; Oppenauer oxidation of the alcohol or aldehyde gives a  $C_{20}$ -aldehyde very similar in absorption characteristics to the aldehyde of vitamin  $A_2$  and reducible to an alcohol similar to or identical with  $A_2$  (13, 14). Vitamin  $A_2$ , isolated in pure form by Shantz (4), distills in a high vacuum at a temperature only about **3"** higher than **AI (15)** and is **so** similar to A<sub>1</sub> in chromatographic characteristics and ease of dehydration that it must be an allylic alcohol of nearly the same molecular weight. From a comparison with the distillation characteristics (elimination maxima) of saturated and unsaturated fatty acids, Gray and Cawley  $(16)$  concluded that  $A_2$  has the same number of carbon atoms as  $A_1$  but contains one additional conjugated double bond. Although anhydro  $A_2$  (4) contains seven double bonds whereas anhydro **A1** contains six, it has a three-banded spectrum with maxima corresponding exactly in position to those of anhydro **Az** and differing only in being somewhat less intense. The two anhydro compounds are differentiated, however, by the absorption maxima of the antimony trichloride complexes:  $620 \text{ m}\mu$  for  $A_1$  and  $693 \text{ m}\mu \text{ for } A_2(17).$ 

Chemical evidence of structure is incomplete and in part conflicting. Karrer found that ozonization of vitamin  $A_2$  preparations containing very little  $A_1$ gave some **8%** of acetone **(3)** and **55-60%** of formaldehyde **(18).** He pointed out that the result does not necessarily indicate a mixture of isomers having the groupings  $(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{C}$  and  $\text{CH}_2\text{=}C(\text{CH}_3)$ - but may be the result of rearrangement induced by ozone; both lycopene and  $\beta$ -carotene were found to yield considerable formaldehyde on ozonization. Analytical evidence is not extensive. **A**  single analysis reported by Shantz **(4)** for crystalline vitamin **Az** phenylazobenzoate is in good agreement with the open-chain formula  $C_{\mathfrak{B}}H_{\mathfrak{B}}O$  for vitamin **Az** (11) proposed by Karrer, but also agrees satisfactorily with the formula  $C_{20}H_{28}O$  for a cyclic structure. A single analysis of Shantz's anhydro  $A_2$  agrees with the formula  $C_{20}H_{28}$  but not with the formula  $C_{20}H_{26}$ , and a single analysis of the best preparation of  $A_2$  in Karrer's laboratory is in fair agreement with the formula  $C_{20}H_{30}O$  and does not check with the formula  $C_{20}H_{28}O$ . Two conflicting conclusions based upon mixed melting point determinations have been reported. Karrer and Bretscher (18) found that the allophanate of vitamin  $A_2$  did not depress the melting point **(73")** of the allophanate of dihydrophytol and concluded that **Az** has the open-chain structure 11. Morton, Salah, and Stubbs **(14)**  found that the  $2,4$ -dinitrophenylhydrazones of retinene<sub>2</sub> and the  $C_{20}$ -aldehyde from vitamin **A1** melt at the same temperature and show no depression on admixture, and they concluded that **Az** has a cyclic structure. It thus appears that ozonization probably follows an anomalous course, that analytical evidence favors an open-chain structure but is not conclusive, and that in this series closely related compounds may or may not exhibit easily recognized melting

point depressions.<br>Even in the  $A_1$  series the structures cannot be deduced unambiguously from existing spectrographic data for the carotenoids because these data include certain inconsistencies, as noted above, and because there is no basis for estimation of the chromophoric power of certain possible structural types. Retinene<sub>1</sub>  $(n = 5)$  can hardly have any structure other than XII and yet the maximum



at  $365$  m $\mu$  is 24 m $\mu$  higher than expected in analogy with  $\beta$ -apo-2-carotenal  $(IX, n = 9)$ . However the maximum found for retinene<sub>1</sub> is surely the better basis for comparison with the value 401 m $\mu$  reported for the C<sub>20</sub>-aldehyde obtained by Oppenauer oxidation of either  $A_1$  or retinene<sub>1</sub>. The bathochromic shift suggests that the conjugated system has been lengthened. Oppenauer oxidation of pregnenolone under certain conditions has been shown to be attended with introduction of an additional double bond **(19),** and hence the Czoaldehyde may be dehydroretinene<sub>1</sub>, XIII, as suggested by Haworth, *et al.* (13). The maximum calculated for XI11 from equation *5* on the assumption that the  $R_i$  effect is negligible, as in the case of retinene<sub>i</sub>, is 396  $m\mu$ , in agreement with the observed maximum. There is no basis for judging whether or not the presence of two double bonds in the ring should produce a bathochromic displacement, and hence formula XI11 tentatively satisfies the known requirements.

The expected product of dehydration of vitamin  $A_1$  is the hexaene XIV, which has a ring with an external double bond. If the  $R_e$  effect is the same as in the dodecaene dehydro- $\beta$ -carotene, the maximum calculated for XIV (356 m $\mu$ ) is considerably less than that found  $(370 \text{ m}\mu)$ . If the R<sub>e</sub> effect is negligible in the hexaene, like the  $R_i$  effect in retinene<sub>1</sub>, XIV should have a maximum of 366 m $\mu$ , close to that found.

Since in the retina of certain fresh water fish vitamin  $A_2$  and retinene<sub>2</sub> are components of a reversible oxido-reduction system  $(10)$ , it seems necessary to accept the proposition that no bond migration or other abnormality is involved in the oxidation of  $A_2$  to the aldehyde or in the reverse process of chemical or enzymatic reduction. This proposition means for one thing that, if vitamin  $A_2$  is an allylic alcohol, the carbonyl group of retinenez must be conjugated with the polyene system. Experimental evidence that this is the case is found in the large displacement of the spectrum between hexane and chloroform *(c* = *3.3).* 

Vitamin  $A_2$  contains one double bond more than vitamin  $A_1$ , and in the Karrer formula I1 this additional double bond is not conjugated with the other five. The absorption maximum calculated for II from equation  $4$  is  $336$  m $\mu$ , which is considerably lower than the value found  $(351 \text{ m}\mu)$ . The maximum calculated from equation 5 for the corresponding aldehyde  $(359 \text{ m}\mu)$  is also wholly out of line with the maximum observed for retinene<sub>2</sub>  $(385 \text{ m}\mu)$ . The evidence of Gray and Cawley **(16)** cited above indicates conjugation of the sixth double bond with the other five. One possibility is that the substance is the open-chain polyene alcohol  $XV$  and that retinene<sub>2</sub> is the aldehyde XVI. Reliable calculation of the maxima for these structures can be made from equations **4** and **5;** that for the absorption maximum calculated for II from<br>iderably lower than the value found (351 :<br>equation 5 for the corresponding aldehyde<br>with the maximum observed for retinene<sub>2</sub> (;<br>Cawley (16) cited above indicates conjugatic<br>othe



aldehyde agrees with the value found for retinene<sub>2</sub> but the maximum calculated for the alcohol XV (366  $m\mu$ ) is incompatible with that found for vitamin  $A_2$  $(351 \text{ m}\mu)$ , and hence the formulation must be rejected. Another possibility is that vitamin **Az** has the structure XVII, considered by Gillam, Heilbron, Jones,



and Lederer (20) and favored by Gray (16). Retinene<sub>2</sub> would then have the formula XIII, above, which accounts adequately for the spectrum, and it would be identical with the  $C_{20}$ -aldehyde resulting from oxidation of retinene<sub>1</sub>. Since the alcohol XVII represents the dehydro derivative of vitamin  $A_1$ , the hypsochromic  $R_i$  effect can be assumed to be operative as in  $A_1$ , and the maximum calculated from equation 4 (354.5  $m\mu$ ) is close to that found (351  $m\mu$ ). Karrer and Bretscher **(18)** rejected formula XVII because the substance yielded no  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha$ -dimethylsuccinic acid on ozonization, but since ozonization follows an anomalous course to the extent of yielding formaldehyde and acetone the validity of the negative evidence cited is open to some question. Another objection to the formula is that dehydration would be expected to proceed exactly as with  $A_1$  by elimination of water across the conjugated system to give a heptaene, whereas anhydro  $A_2$  appears to contain only a hexaene system.

The alternate formula XVIII accounts for the absence of  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha$ -dimethylsuccinic acid from the products of ozonization and offers a possible explanation of the difference between **Az** and **A1** in their behavior on dehydration. In XVIII the carbon atom adjacent to the end of the polyenic alcoholic system carries no hydrogen atom and hence direct dehydration across the conjugated system is



not possible. An alternate sequence of reactions might lead to an anhydro compound of structure XX. This structure has a cross-conjugated system and would be expected to have the absorption characteristics of a hexaene rather than a heptaene. Calculation presents the same uncertainties as in the case of formula  $XIV$  for anhydro  $A<sub>1</sub>$  and the conclusions are practically the same: the maxima expected for  $XX$  if the  $R_i$  effect is operative and non-operative, respectively, are **349.5** and **366 mp** (found, **370** mp). In any case XIV and XX should have very similar absorption spectra and hence are possible representations of anhydro  $A_1$  and anhydro  $A_2$ . The difference in the maxima of the antimony chloride complexes is also accounted for on the assumption that XX is isomerized by the reagent to XXI, which has a normal heptaene system.

The calculation of the maximum **for** XVIII is subject to the uncertainties discussed with reference to XVII and the value given  $(361 \text{ m}\mu)$  thus appears close enough to the actual value  $(351 \text{ m}\mu)$  to admit the formula as a possibility. **A** substance of the alternate structure XVII could yield the anhydro compound XX by 1,4-elimination of water across the terminal double bond, but it would then be difficult to see why  $A_2$  should behave any differently from  $A_1$ . Formula  $XVIII$  for vitamin  $A_2$  thus seems to offer a better general account of the properties of the alcohol and its transformation products than any of the alternate formulas. The interpretation suggested implies that retinene<sub>2</sub> (XIX) and the  $C_{20}$ -aldehyde for vitamin  $A_1$  (XIII) have similar absorption characteristics but are not identical, in spite of the mixed melting point evidence of identity. It discounts also the *mixed* melting point evidence of an open-chain structure. Perhaps the most serious objection is that the cyclic formula is consistent with only one of three available analyses. **A** final decision thus awaits further evidence.

*Acknowledgement.* This paper was conceived and completed in the course of a visit of the author to Israel as a guest of the Weizmann Institute. I am indebted to Dr. Chaim Weizmann, Dr. E. Bergmann, and associates for helpful discussions and for providing facilities for the study both at the Institute and in transit.

*Note added in proof.* Since this paper was written, Karrer and Schneider, *Helv. Chim. Acta,* **33, 38 (1950),** have reported the preparation by the method of Shantz  $(4)$  of a sample of vitamin  $A_2$  phenylazobenzoate melting somewhat higher than Shantz's sample. They report an analysis of the derivative that agrees better with the cyclic than with the open-chain formula and state that neither the derivative nor the vitamin  $A_2$  obtained from it afforded any acetone on ozonization.

### **SUMMARY**

Empirical equations have been developed for calculation of the absorption maxima **of** all types of natural carotenoid pigments; maxima calculated for over sixty-five compounds are in substantial agreement with the values found.

The magnitude of the displacements of the spectra of a series of compounds in different solvents is proportional to the number of conjugated double bonds.

Analysis **of** the spectrographic data regarding the properties and transformations of vitamins  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  has led to consideration of new formulas for vitamin  $A_2$ and derived compounds.

**REHOVOTH, ISRAEL** 

### **REFERENCES**

- **(1) FIESER AND FIESER,** *Natural Products Related to Phenanthrene,* **3rd Ed., Reinhold, New York (1949).**
- **(2) FIESER, FIESER, AND RAJAGOPALAN,** *J. Org. Chem.,* **13,800 (1948).**
- **(3) KARRER, GEIGER, AND BRETSCHER,** *Helv. Chim. Acta,* **24, 161E (1941).**
- **(4) SHANTZ,** *Science,* **108,417 (1948).**
- **(5) KARRER AND JUCKER,** *Carotenoide,* **Verlag Birkhauser, Basel (1948).**
- **(6) WALD,** *J. Gen. Physiol.,* **31,489 (1948).**
- **(7) BROOKER, KEYES, AND WILLIAMS,** *J. Am. Chem.* Soc., **64, 199 (1942).**
- **(8) KUHN,** *Helv. Chim. Acta,* **31, 1780 (1948).**
- **(9) WEVDLER, SLATER, AND TISHLER,** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* **71, 3267 (1949).**
- **(10) WALD,** *Harvey Lectures Ser.,* **41, 117 (1945-1946).**
- **(11) BALL, GOODWIN, AND MORTON,** *Biochem. J.,* **40, proc. 59 (1946).**
- **(12)** WALD **AND HUBBARD,** *J. Gen. Physiol.,* **32,367 (1949).**
- **(13) HAWORTH, HEILBRON, JONES, MORRISON, AND POLYA,** *J. Chem. Soc.,* **128 (1939).**
- **(14) MORTON, SALAH, AND STUBBS,** *Nature,* **169, 744 (1947).**
- **(15) GRAY,** *J. Biol. Chem.,* **131, 317 (1939).**
- **(16) GRAY AND CAWLEY,** *J. Biol. Chem.,* **134, 397 (1940).**
- **(17) EMBREE AHD SHANTZ,** *J. Biol. Chem.,* **132, 619 (1940).**
- **(18) KARRER AND BRETSCHER,** *Helv. Chim. Acta,* **26, 1758 (1943).**
- **(19) WEITSTEIN,** *Helo. Chim. Acta,* **23,388 (1940).**
- **(20) GILLAM, HEILBRON, JONES, AND LEDERER,** *Biochem. J.,* **32, 405 (1938).**